

Inflation and the Joint Bond-FX Spanning Puzzle

Andreas Schrimpf

Bank for International
Settlements and CEPR

Markus Sihvonen

Bank of Finland

Ljubljana December 2025

- "Spanning puzzle" in the bond asset pricing literature (e.g. Duffee 11, Joslin et al. 14)

Spanning condition:

- Affine term structure models imply macro variables do not predict returns after linearly controlling for current yield curve factors (level, slope, curvature ...)
- Information in macro factors already included in yield curve factors

But: macro variables like inflation and measures of real activity often found to predict returns/ yield changes on top of yield factors

Theoretical

- Show that linear spanning holds for bond returns also in non-linear models (e.g. habit model)
- Show that it holds also for FX excess returns

Empirical

- Find that inflation rate predicts not only US bond returns but also dollar returns
- Also holds conditional on yield curve factors

⇒ A *joint* spanning puzzle for FX and bond returns

Incomplete information about Fed's reaction function as likely explanation

⇒ Higher inflation predicts unexpected MP tightening (MP surprises)

"Spanning Puzzle" in the Bond Predictability Literature

e.g. Duffee (11), Joslin, Priebsch & Singleton (14), Cieslak & Povala (15), Bauer & Rudebusch (21)

Non-linear Macro-finance Models

e.g. Wachter (06), Rudebusch & Swanson (12)

Currency Predictability

e.g. Hassan & Mano (18), Lustig, Roussanov & Verdelhan (19), Dahlquist & Penasse (22)

Expectational Errors and Central Bank Reaction Function

e.g. Gourinchas & Tornell (04), Cieslak (17), Schmeling, Schrimpf & Steffensen (22), Bauer & Swanson (23)

- Consider a linear model with m state variables
- m yield curve factors capture information in these variables
- No variable should predict bond returns / yield changes after controlling for these m factors
- Assumes a weak invertibility condition

- Consider a non-linear model with m state variables, e.g. habit (Wachter 06) or long-run risk model (Bansal & Yaron 04)
- Show there is still approximate linear spanning but with more factors than state variables
- Approximation similar to a higher order local approximation
- Approximation accurate in standard models
- See [here](#) for the details

- Linear spanning for FX: using sufficiently many home and foreign yield curve factors should embed all necessary information relevant for future returns
- Macro variables such as inflation should not predict FX excess returns on top of these factors
- Requires additional but weak technical conditions

- Focus on US bond returns and dollar returns against 5 countries (Canada, Germany, Sweden, Switzerland and UK)
- Easily available yield curve data from central banks
- Monthly data between 1973-2023 (FX data starts in 1983)
- Use BBI/WMI data for exchange rates
- Annual US CPI inflation rate from FRED (real time!)
- Monetary policy shocks based on GSS (18) and NS (18)
- Interest rate forecasts from Consensus Economics
- Taylor rule coefficients from Lombardi et al. (25)

Predicting Monthly Returns with Inflation

Panel A: No YC Controls				
	(1) r_X^{10Y}	(2) r_X^{FX}	(3) Δy^{10Y}	(4) Δs
π	-12.25*** (-2.73)	15.78** (2.00)	0.909* (1.73)	15.67** (2.03)
N	608	480	608	480
R^2 (in %)	1.22	1.07	0.58	1.07
Panel B: With YC Controls				
	(1) r_X^{10Y}	(2) r_X^{FX}	(3) Δy^{10Y}	(4) Δs
π	-14.46** (-2.29)	27.04*** (3.15)	1.682** (2.36)	25.27*** (3.07)
N	608	480	608	480
R^2 (in %)	2.88	4.87	2.11	3.92

t statistics in parentheses

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

- 1 pp higher inflation leads to 12bps lower bond and 16bps higher dollar return
- Effects mainly due to changes in yields and dollar FX rates
- Predictability survives controlling for yield curve factors \Rightarrow spanning condition is violated
- How about mechanisms?

Predicting MP Shocks with Inflation

Panel A: No YC Controls			
	(1) Target	(2) Path	(3) NS
π	0.0584 (0.47)	1.149*** (2.30)	0.306** (2.39)
N	231	231	231
R^2 (in %)	0.06	3.46	2.30
Panel B: YC Controls			
	(1) Target	(2) Path	(3) NS
π	0.148 (0.89)	1.14** (2.22)	0.358** (2.37)
N	231	231	231
R^2 (in %)	5.53	11.15	11.99

t statistics in parentheses

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Predicting Yield Forecast Errors and Changes in Taylor Rule Coefficient on Inflation Using Inflation

Panel A: Long rate forecast errors		
	(1) No YC Controls	(2) YC Controls
π	13.51 (1.53)	12.74** (2.47)
N	395	395
$R^2(\%)$	5.04	42.09
Panel B: Changes in the Taylor coefficient on inflation		
	(1) No YC Controls	(2) YC Controls
π	0.168 (1.01)	0.219** (2.09)
N	405	405
$R^2(\%)$	2.49	18.73

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

- We do not take a strong stance on the specific model that explains the results
- However, we provide a stylized example of a model that could
- Assume risk neutral investors
- Fed sets the short rate according to $r_t = \phi \bar{\pi}_t + v_t$
- $\bar{\pi}_t$ is long run inflation and v_t is a random shock
- Assume $\bar{\pi}_t$ follows AR(1) but is unobserved by the agents

- Agents short rate expectations follow a sticky expectations process

$$\mathbb{E}_t^S[r_{t+1}] = k\lambda\mathbb{E}_{t-1}^S[r_t] + (1 - k)\lambda r_t$$

- This emerges as a solution to a filtration problem with unknown $\bar{\pi}_t$
- But it could also represent a simple behavioral rule.
- Forecast under FIRE: $\lambda\phi\bar{\pi}_t$
- High inflation predicts short rate increases
- The short rate increases lead to low bond returns and currency appreciation
- Inflation is also unspanned by yield curve factors

- Conceptual contribution: extend linear spanning to non-linear models and to FX rates
- Find inflation rates predict not only US bond returns but also dollar appreciation

⇒ Bond spanning puzzle is a *joint* bond-FX spanning puzzle ...

- High inflation also predicts unexpected MP tightening
- Rationalize the results with a simple model, where agents have incomplete information about Fed's reaction function.

Bansal, R. and Yaron, A. (2004). Risks for the long run: A potential resolution of asset pricing puzzles. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(4):1481–1509.

Bauer, M. and Rudebusch, G. (2020). Interest rates under falling stars. *American Economic Review*, 110(5):1316–1354.

Cieslak, A. and Povala, P. (2015). Expected returns in treasury bonds. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(10):2859–2901.

Cieslak, A. (2017). Short-rate expectations and unexpected returns in treasury bonds. *Review of Financial Studies*, 31(9):3265–3306.

Dahlquist, M., & Pénasse, J. (2022). The missing risk premium in exchange rates. *Journal of financial economics*, 143(2), 697–715.

Duffee, G. (2011). Information in (and not in) the term structure. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 24(9):2895–2934.

Joslin, S., Pribsch, M., and Singleton, K. (2014). Risk premiums in dynamic term structure models with unspanned macro risks. *The Journal of Finance*, 69(3):1197–1233.

Lustig, H., Stathopoulos, A., and Verdelhan, A. (2019). The term structure of currency carry trade risk premia. *American Economic Review*, 109(12).

Schmeling, M., Schrimpf, A., and Steffensen, S. (2022). Monetary policy expectation errors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 146(3):841–858.

Wachter, J. (2006). A consumption-based model of the term structure of interest rates. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 79:365–399.

- Two countries. Home state variables $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ and foreign state variables $x_t^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m^* \times 1}$
- Yield of an n maturity home bond $y_t^n \equiv g(x_t)$, g generally non-linear
- Excess bond return $rx_{t,t+1}^n = -(n-1)y_{t+1}^{n-1} + ny_t^n - y_t^1$
- Bond risk premium: $\mathbb{E}_t[rx_{t,t+1}^n] \equiv \Pi_n(x_t)$
- (Log) exchange rate s_t (higher $s_t \rightarrow$ dollar appreciation)
- Dollar excess return $s_{t+1} - f_t$, f_t is forward rate
- FX risk premium $\mathbb{E}_t[s_{t+1} - f_t] \equiv f(x_t^*) - f(x_t)$

- We approximate $y_t^n = g(x_t)$ by $y_t^n \approx A_n + B_n YCF_t$
- YCF_t is a vector of yield curve factors.
- To capture non-linearities can need more factors than state variables
- Approximation similar to a higher order local approximation
- Similarly $\mathbb{E}_t[rx_{t,t+1}^n] \approx C_n + D_n YCF_t$
- and $\mathbb{E}_t[s_{t+1} - f_t] \approx F_n + H_n YCF_t + H_n^* YCF_t^*$
- Expected Bond and FX returns only depend on yield curve factors!
- Nothing else should predict these returns once linearly controlling for them.

- Show numerically that approximations accurate in standard models (e.g. habit)
- Results for bonds only require a weak invertibility condition
- For currencies they also require a separability condition (holds in standard models)
- Relaxing separability, need to also control for interactions between home and foreign factors
- Argue empirically that this does not change the results